UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/711,842	10/08/2004	Markus Reiter	20067US	5841
23470 SRAM, LLC	7590 05/13/200	9	EXAMINER	
1333 N. KINGSE	BURY, 4TH FLOOR		IRVIN, THOMAS W	
CHICAGO, IL	00042		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3657	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			05/13/2009	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

lserdynski@sram.com mm@sram.com

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/711,842	REITER, MARKUS	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	THOMAS W. IRVIN	3657	
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence address	-
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REWHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFI after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory pe - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by st Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mearned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	G DATE OF THIS COMMUNI R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a b. briod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON tatute, cause the application to become Al	CATION. reply be timely filed ITHS from the mailing date of this communication BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 1	This action is non-final. wance except for formal mat	· •	s is
Disposition of Claims			
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-3,5 and 7-24 is/are pending in the same claim(s) 10,11,13,14 and 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-3,5,7-9,12,15,16 and 24 is/are refront claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction are	17-22 is/are withdrawn from o	consideration.	
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Exam 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) Applicant may not request that any objection to Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the col 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the	accepted or b) objected to the drawing(s) be held in abeyar rrection is required if the drawing	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). (s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.12	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docum 2. Certified copies of the priority docum 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority docum application from the International Bu * See the attached detailed Office action for a	nents have been received. nents have been received in A priority documents have been reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	application No received in this National Stage	
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(Summary (PTO-413) s)/Mail Date nformal Patent Application 	

DETAILED ACTION

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 10 April 2009 has been entered.

All claims are drawn to the same invention claimed in the application prior to the entry of the submission under 37 CFR 1.114 and could have been finally rejected on the grounds and art of record in the next Office action if they had been entered in the application prior to entry under 37 CFR 1.114. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL** even though it is a first action after the filing of a request for continued examination and the submission under 37 CFR 1.114. See MPEP § 706.07(b).

Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of

the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.


Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1-3, 5, 7-9, 12, 15, and 16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Kamada (6,340,338).



In Re claim 1, with reference to Fig. 3, 13, 14, and 12 (above), Kamada discloses a chainwheel assembly including a plurality of chainwheels (s1-s7) engageable with a chain (23) having successive alternating pairs of inner link plates and outer link plates connected by pins, the pins surrounded by rollers, the chainwheel assembly comprising: at least one smaller chainwheel (s3) having a plurality of teeth spaced about its circumference; and at least one larger chainwheel (s4) having a greater number of teeth spaced about its circumference than the smaller chainwheel, the larger chainwheel and the smaller chainwheel oriented relative to each other such that a distance between a center of the chain roller positioned between a pair of adjacent teeth on the larger chainwheel and the center of the chain roller between a pair adjacent teeth on the smaller chainwheel is substantially an integer multiple of the chain pitch, at least a first tooth (f4) of the pair of adjacent teeth (F4,G4) on the larger chainwheel includes a first lateral recess (A) having a first run-on ramp (see fig. 14), at least a second tooth (G4) of the pair of adjacent teeth disposed adjacent to the first tooth opposite the drive rotation direction including a second lateral recess (B) with a run-on ramp (see fig. 14).

In Re claims 2 and 3, see fig. 14.

In Re claim 5, see third tooth (H4) which includes a run-out chamfer (E).


In Re claim 7, see fig. 14.

In Re claims 8, 9, and 12, see deflection chamfers (C,D) on the first and second tooth (F4,G4) in fig. 12 above.

In Re claim 15, see fig. 3.

In Re claim 16, see chamfers (C,D,E).

Claims 1-3, 5, 7, 8, 12, 15, 16, and 24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Yahata (2002/0086753).



In Re claim 1, with reference to Fig. 5, above, Yahata discloses a chainwheel assembly including a plurality of chainwheels (see fig. 15) engageable with a chain (10), the chainwheel assembly comprising: at least one smaller chainwheel (50) having a plurality of teeth spaced about its circumference; and at least one larger chainwheel (40) having a greater number of teeth spaced about its circumference than the smaller chainwheel, at least a first tooth (A) of a pair of adjacent teeth (A,B,C) on the larger chainwheel includes a first lateral recess and run-on ramp (D), at least a second tooth (B) of the pair of adjacent teeth disposed adjacent to the first tooth opposite the drive rotation direction including a second lateral recess and run-on ramp (E).

In Re claims 2 and 3, see fig. 5.

In Re claim 5, see third tooth (C) which includes a run-out chamfer (F).

In Re claim 7, see fig. 5.

In Re claims 8 and 12, see deflection chamfers (G,H) on the first and second tooth (A,B) in fig. 5 above.

In Re claim 15, see fig. 11.

In Re claim 16, see chamfers (G,H,J).

In Re claim 24, the recesses are separate from each other.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed 10 April 2009 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

In response to applicant's argument that that Kamada fails to disclose a run-on ramp configured to lift the outer link plate of a chain, a recitation of the intended use of the claimed invention must result in a structural difference between the claimed invention and the prior art in order to patentably distinguish the claimed invention from the prior art. If the prior art structure is capable of performing the intended use, then it meets the claim. In this case, the examiner believes that Kamada's recesses are understood to include run-on ramps that could lift the outer link plate of a chain as understood from the claim.

In response to applicant's arguments stating that "the recesses E and D of Yahata are on the back face of the larger chainwheel facing the next larger chainwheel"

the examiner points to figs. 15a-15c which clearly show "recesses" on the face of a larger chainwheel facing the next smaller chainwheel.

Conclusion

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to THOMAS W. IRVIN whose telephone number is (571)270-3095. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri 9am-5pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Robert Siconolfi can be reached on (571) 272-7124. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/711,842 Page 8

Art Unit: 3657

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Thomas W. Irvin/ Examiner, Art Unit 3657 /Robert A. Siconolfi/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3657